UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS BROWNSVILLE DIVISION

STATE OF TEXAS, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

Case No. 18-cv-00068

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, et al.,

Defendants,

and

KARLA PEREZ, et al.,

STATE OF NEW JERSEY,

Defendant-Intervenors.

FOURTH NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE WITH THE COURT'S ORDER [ECF No. 576]

On July 16, 2021, the Court granted Plaintiffs' Motion for Summary Judgment in part, ECF No. 575, and issued a permanent injunction, ECF No. 576. The injunction permits the Federal Defendants to continue to accept but not grant requests from initial requestors and to continue to grant renewal requests from existing DACA recipients. Pursuant to the Court's order, the Federal Defendants submit the following:

- As noted in prior filings, the Federal Defendants have taken measures to halt the processing of initial DACA requests, and to rectify any inadvertent grants of initial DACA requests. See ECF Nos. 578, 578-1, 588, 588-1.
- 2. The Federal Defendants previously notified the Court of a limited number of instances where noncitizens have had their initial DACA requests inadvertently granted, and that USCIS had taken immediate corrective actions in all cases. The Federal Defendants explained in their July 27, 2021 notice that USCIS had inadvertently approved nine initial DACA requests. See ECF Nos. 578, 578-1. On September 21, 2021, the Federal Defendants explained that two additional initial DACA requests and employment authorization applications were granted in error. See ECF Nos. 588, 588-1. In all instances, USCIS notified those individuals who received approvals that the approvals were void at the time they were issued, and USCIS took further steps to prevent additional errors. Id.
- 3. More recently, the Federal Defendants became aware that two additional DACA requests were erroneously approved due to a technical glitch and an unusual and limited circumstance in which the DACA requestor's alien number ("A-number") was at some point in time incorrectly associated with another, unrelated DACA recipient. *See* Declaration of David A. Blair, ¶ 6. Due to a technical glitch, in both cases the USCIS Electronic Immigration System (ELIS), which flags DACA requests filed more than a

year after expiration for conversion to initial DACA requests, read the expiration date information associated with the unrelated DACA recipient's A-number instead of the A-number of the correct DACA requestor. *Id.* at ¶ 7. Because the two unrelated individuals happened to have a previous DACA expiration date that would make them eligible to submit a renewal request, ELIS did not identify the two DACA requests as late-filed requests to be treated as initial DACA requests rather than as renewals. *Id.*

4. Once the erroneous approvals were identified, USCIS notified the two individuals that the approvals were void at the time they were issued, and reopened the filings so that they now remain pending in USCIS systems as initial DACA requests. *Id.* at ¶ 8. USCIS has since corrected the technical issues in ELIS that led to these errors. *Id.* at ¶ 9.

Dated: December 3, 2021

BRIAN M. BOYNTON Acting Assistant Attorney General Civil Division

AUGUST E. FLENTJE Special Counsel Civil Division

WILLIAM C. PEACHEY
Director, Office of Immigration Litigation
District Court Section

JEFFREY S. ROBINS Attorney-in-Charge Deputy Director Respectfully submitted,

/s/ James J. Walker

JAMES J. WALKER
Senior Litigation Counsel
U.S. Department of Justice
Civil Division
Office of Immigration Litigation
District Court Section
P.O. Box 868, Ben Franklin Station
Washington, D.C. 20044
Phone: (202) 532-4468

Phone: (202) 532-4468 Fax: (202) 305-7000

Email: james.walker3@usdoj.gov

Attorneys for Federal Defendants

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on December 3, 2021, this document was electronically filed with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system, which will send notification of such filing to all counsel of record.

/s/ James J. Walker
JAMES J. WALKER